



Ion Exchange Letters 4 (2011) 7-15

# Synthesis and characterization of a novel hybrid cation exchange material and its application in metal ion separations

Parimal Patel and Uma Chudasama\*

Applied Chemistry Department, Faculty of Technology & Engineering, The M. S. University of Baroda, Vadodara – 390001, Gujarat, India

Received: 6.8.2010; Accepted 26.5.2011; Published 24.6.2011

## ABSTRACT

A novel hybrid cation exchange material of the class of tetravalent metal acid (TMA) salt, zirconium diethylene triamine pentamethylene phosphonate (ZrDETPMP) has been synthesized by sol gel method. The material has been analyzed by spectroscopy and thermal methods. Physico-chemical and ion exchange characteristics have also been studied. Distribution coefficient ( $K_d$ ) has been determined in aqueous as well as various electrolyte media/concentrations for Co<sup>2+</sup>, Ni<sup>2+</sup>, Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup> (transition metal ions) and Cd<sup>2+</sup>, Hg<sup>2+</sup>, Pb<sup>2+</sup>, Bi<sup>3+</sup> (heavy metal ions) using ZrDETPMP. Based on the differential affinity/selectivity, breakthrough capacity (BTC) and elution behaviour of various metal ions towards ZrDETPMP, a few binary and ternary metal ions separations have been carried out.

**Keywords:** Tetravalent metal acid salt; hybrid material; metal phosphonate; cation exchanger; zirconium diethylene triamine pentamethylene phosphonate; metal separation.

# INTRODUCTION

Amongst various processes developed to remove metal ions from wastewater, it is observed that at low concentrations, the removal is more effective by ion exchange<sup>1,2</sup>. Inorganic ion exchangers have played a prominent role in water processing for the chemical and nuclear industries and also used extensively for the removal and recovery of metal ions<sup>3</sup>.Further, different types of metal pollutants from chemical process industries necessitates finding new ion exchangers, that have good ion exchange capacity, stability towards temperature, ionizing radiation and oxidizing solutions and that are capable of removing toxic substances from aqueous effluents<sup>4</sup>.

Inorganic ion exchangers of the class of tetravalent metal acid (TMA) salts have emerged as promising advanced materials, owing to their high thermal and chemical stability, resistance towards ionizing radiations, as well as its important applications as ion exchangers, in separation science<sup>5-7</sup>. TMA salts are cation exchangers that exhibit the general formula  $M(IV)(HXO_4)_2 \cdot nH_2O$  where M(IV) = Zr, Ti, Sn, Ce, Th, etc. and X= P, Mo, W, As, Sb, etc. They possess structural hydroxyl protons, the H<sup>+</sup> of the –OH being the exchangeable sites. A number of cations can be exchanged with H<sup>+</sup>, due to which TMA salts possess cation exchange properties.

In the search for new ion exchange materials, inorgano-organic hybrids are making a huge impact. A major advantage of inorgano-organic hybrid materials is the rigid inorganic backbone and the flexibility of the organic groupings that give a wide range of properties. Anchoring of organic units on the backbone of TMA salts is of particular interest, since the resulting material has the added advantage of both its counterparts in terms of thermal and chemical stability and cation exchange capacity (CEC). M(IV) Phosphates (where M(IV) = Zr, Ti, Sn, Ce and Th) have been widely used as cation exchangers and have shown a number of advantages as an ideal host lattice<sup>8</sup>. In the tetrahedral moiety of phosphoric acid,  $PO(OH)_3$ , if H or OH is replaced by R (where R=alkyl/aryl possessing ionogenic groups such as –COOH, –OH, –SO<sub>3</sub>H etc.), phosphonic acids are obtained, which when treated with tetravalent metals such as Zr, Ti, Sn, Th, Ce, etc. give rise to novel metal phosphonates<sup>9-11</sup>.

Aminophosphonic acids possess effectiveness of donor groups in the binding of tetravalent metal ions, and have received considerable attention due to the diverse binding abilities<sup>12,13</sup>. Claw type phosphonic acid such as diethylene triamine pentamethylene phosphonic acid (DETPMP), Figure 1, possessing ten structural hydroxyl groups, permits versatile control of the surface modification in terms of CEC, having the tendency to offer good affinity as well as selectivity for metal ions. Titania-phosphonate hybrids using claw type aminophosphonic acids have been synthesized and characterized and their utility as adsorbents has been proposed earlier<sup>12</sup>. Novel hybrid metal phosphonates zirconium hydroxy ethylidene diphosphonate (ZrHEDP)<sup>14</sup>, titanium hydroxy ethvlidene diphosphonate (TiHEDP)<sup>15</sup> and zirconium titanium hydroxy ethylidene diphosphonate (ZTHEDP)<sup>16,17</sup> have been synthesized and ion exchange characteristics of these materials have been reported earlier by us. In another publication, zirconium diethylene triamine pentamethylene phosphonate (ZrDETPMP) has been synthesized, characterized<sup>18</sup> and thermodynamics and kinetics of ion exchange<sup>19</sup> studied.



Figure 1 Structure of DETPMP

In the present endeavour, the possible use of ZrDETPMP as an ion exchanger for separation of metal ions has been explored. Distribution coefficient ( $K_d$ ) for Co<sup>2+</sup>, Ni<sup>2+</sup>, Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup> (transition metal ions) and Cd<sup>2+</sup>, Hg<sup>2+</sup>, Pb<sup>2+</sup>, Bi<sup>3+</sup> (heavy metal ions) towards ZrDETPMP, has been determined in aqueous as well as various electrolyte media/concentrations. Based on the differential selectivity, breakthrough capacity

(BTC) and elution behaviour of various metal ions towards ZrDETPMP, a few binary and ternary metal ion separations have been carried out.

# EXPERIMENTAL

All chemicals and reagents used are of analytical grade. Double distilled water was used for all the studies. ZrDETPMP has been synthesized by sol-gel method, as reported earlier<sup>18,19</sup>.

Physical characteristics such as (appearance, percentage moisture content, apparent density, true density) and ion exchange characteristics such as (void volume fraction, concentration of fixed ionogenic groups, volume capacity, pH titration curve, CEC) and chemical stability of ZrDETPMP were studied according to literature methods<sup>16,20,21</sup>.

ZrDETPMP has been analyzed for zirconium and phosphorus content using Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectrometer (ICP-AES, Labtam, 8440 Plasmalab) while carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen content was analyzed on CHN analyzer (Thermo finnigan, Flash EA 1112 series). Composition of ZrDETPMP has been formulated based on earlier studies<sup>22</sup>. FTIR spectra was obtained using KBr wafer on a Shimadzu (Model 8400S). TGA was performed on a Shimadzu, DT-30 thermal analyzer at a heating rate of 10°C per minute. DSC of the material was performed on a DSC-50 analyzer. Shimadzu, X-ray diffractogram ( $2\theta = 10 - 90^\circ$ ) was obtained on an X-ray diffractometer (Brucker AXS D8) with Cu-Ka radition (X-ray source of wavelength 1.5418 Å). SEM of the material was obtained on Jeol JSM-5610-SLV scanning electron microscope.

# **Distribution Studies**

Distribution studies for metal ions  $Co^{2+}$ ,  $Ni^{2+}$ ,  $Cu^{2+}$ ,  $Zn^{2+}$ ,  $Cd^{2+}$ ,  $Hg^{2+}$ ,  $Pb^{2+}$  and  $Bi^{3+}$  were carried out by batch method<sup>23</sup>. 0.1 g of the exchanger ZrDETPMP was equilibrated with 20 mL of 0.001 M metal ion solution for 24 h at room temperature. The metal ion concentration before and after sorption was determined by EDTA titration, AAS or UV–Vis spectrophotometer<sup>24,25</sup>. Distribution studies have been carried out in aqueous as well as various electrolyte media (NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub>, HClO<sub>4</sub> and CH<sub>3</sub>COOH) of varying concentration (0.02 and 0.20 M). Distribution coefficient ( $K_d$ ) was evaluated using the expression,

where, I = total amount of the metal ion in the solution initially; F = total amount of metal ions left in the solution after equilibrium; V = volume of the solution; W=weight of the exchanger.

## **BTC, Elution Studies and Separation Studies**

For BTC, elution and separation studies, 0.5 g of the ion exchanger, ZrDETPMP, was taken in a glass column (length = 30 cm, inner diameter = 1cm, bed height = 1.3 cm), and washed thoroughly with double distilled water and flow rate adjusted to 0.5 mL·min<sup>-1</sup>. In all cases, metal ion concentration was determined quantitatively EDTA titration, AAS or UV-Vis hv spectrophotometer<sup>24,25</sup>. For BTC, 5 mL fractions of each individual 0.001 M metal ion solution was passed through the column and effluent collected, till the amount of metal ion concentration was same in feed and effluent. A breakthrough curve (Figure 2) was obtained by plotting the ratio  $C_e/C_0$  against the effluent volume, where  $C_0$  and  $C_e$  are the concentrations of the initial solution and effluent, respectively. BTC is calculated using formula,

 $BTC = \frac{C_0 V_{(10\%)}}{W}$ ....(2)

where,  $C_0$  is concentration of metal ion in mol·L<sup>-1</sup>,  $V_{(10\%)}$  is the volume of metal ion solution passed through column when exit concentration reaches 10 % of the initial concentration in mL and W is the weight of the exchanger in g.

For elution studies (single metal), column was prepared as above. Metal ion (0.001 M, 10 mL) was loaded onto the column. Metal ion loaded was eluted with reagents like HNO<sub>3</sub>, HClO<sub>4</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>COOH and NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> of 0.02 and 0.2 M concentration. The % metal eluted is expressed as,

% Metal eluted = 
$$\frac{Amount of metal ion eluted}{Amount of metal ion loaded} \times 100$$
......(3)

For binary and ternary separations, column was prepared as above. The mixture of the metal ions (0.001 M, 10 mL of each metal ion) to be separated was loaded on it. The separation was achieved by passing suitable eluant through the column. The percentage metal eluted was calculated using equation (3).

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Physico-chemical, ion exchange characteristics and instrumental methods of characterization for ZrDETPMP have been studied earlier<sup>18,19</sup> and presented in Table 1.

The distribution coefficient  $(K_d)$  values evaluated for various metal ions towards ZrDETPMP has been presented in Table 2. In general, it is observed that the  $K_d$  values are lower in high concentration of electrolyte and vice versa. Further, the  $K_d$  values in strong electrolyte media are lower as compared to weak electrolyte and aqueous media. This may be attributed to the high competition amongst ions for exchange in strong electrolyte media. Amongst transition metal ions, the observed selectivity order is  $Zn^{2+}$  $(0.74 \text{ Å}) > \text{Cu}^{2+}(0.72 \text{ Å}) > \text{Ni}^{2+}(0.72 \text{ Å}) >$ Co<sup>2+</sup>(0.74 Å) and amongst heavy metal ions, the observed selectivity order is  $Pb^{2+}$  (1.44 Å) >  $Bi^{3+}(0.96 \text{ Å}) > Hg^{2+}(1.10 \text{ Å}) > Cd^{2+}(0.97 \text{ Å}),$ values in parenthesis being ionic radii of the respective metal ions. The ionic radii being almost equivalent in case of transition metal ions, the selectivity order is probably dependant on rate of exchange/equilibrium and dissociation of salt, while selectivity order in case of heavy metal ions can be explained on the basis of size of ions and hence hydrated ionic radii. Larger ions being less hydrated, less energy is utilized for dehydration of the metal ions to occupy a site on the exchanger<sup>16</sup>. Discrepancy observed in case of Bi<sup>3+</sup>, could be attributed to higher ionic charge.

Breakthrough curve (a plot of  $C_e/C_0$  vs. effluent volume) is presented in Figure 2. Breakthrough capacity (BTC) is the dynamic capacity or operating capacity of a known amount of ion exchange material towards metal ion in column operation. In dynamic process, exchange of a particular metal ion depends mainly on the rate of exchange, contact time, flow rate of feed solution through the column, bed depth, selectivity coefficient, particle size and temperature.  $K_d$  values also give an idea of affinity of metal ion towards ion exchanger. However,  $K_d$  is determined by a batch process. It is expected that the selectivity order based on  $K_d$ and BTC should be same, which is observed in the present study confirming the order of selectivity. The BTC values have been presented in Table 2.

| ZrDET    | ГРМ              | р                     |                   | jer  |  |  |  |
|----------|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Appear   | ance             |                       | White opaque      |  |  |  |  |
|          |                  | granules              |                   |  |  |  |  |
| % mois   | ture             | 8.54                  |                   |  |  |  |  |
| Particle | e size           | [mm]                  |                   | 0.25 - 0.59  |  |  |  |
| Appare   | nt de            | nsity [g.mL           | 2 <sup>-1</sup> ] | 0.35   |  |  |  |
| True de  | ensity           | [g.mL <sup>-1</sup> ] |                   | 1.40   |  |  |  |
| Void vo  | olume            | e fraction            |                   | 0.76   |  |  |  |
| Concen   | tratic           | on of fixed           | ionogenic         | 3.01   |  |  |  |
| group [  | mmo              | $l \cdot g^{-1}$ ]    |                   |  |  |  |  |
| Volume   | e capa           | acity [meq.           | $mL^{-1}$ ]       | 0.73   |  |  |  |
| pH titra | tion             | curve                 |                   | Weak cation  |  |  |  |
|          |                  | 1-                    |                   | exchanger  |  |  |  |
| CEC [n   | neq.g            | -1]                   |                   | 2.34   |  |  |  |
| Chemic   | cal              | Acids                 | $H_2SO_4$         | 18 N   |  |  |  |
| (Maxin   | /<br>hiim        |                       | HNO <sub>3</sub>  | 16 N   |  |  |  |
| tolerabl | le               | D                     | HCI               | 11.3 N   |  |  |  |
| limit)   |                  | Bases                 | NaOH              | 0.5 N  |  |  |  |
|          |                  | 0                     | KOH<br>Ethen el   | 0.5 N  |  |  |  |
|          |                  | organic               | Ethanol,          | Stable   |  |  |  |
|          |                  | sorvents              | benzene.          |  |  |  |  |
|          |                  |                       | acetic acid       |  |  |  |  |
| Elemen   | ital ar          | nalysis               |                   |  |  |  |  |
| ICP-AE   | ES               | atio                  | 1:1.65            |  |  |  |  |
| CHN A    | nalys            | sis [%]               |                   | C= 7.6, H=4.0,   |  |  |  |
| Molecu   | ılar fo          | ormula of Z           | rDETPMP           | N=5.9<br>(ZrO) <sub>3</sub> C <sub>9</sub> H <sub>23</sub> N <sub>3</sub> P <sub>5</sub> |  |  |  |
|          |                  |                       |                   | O <sub>15</sub> ·nH <sub>2</sub> O   |  |  |  |
| FTIR     | 1                |                       | ~ 3400            | -OH <sub>str</sub>   |  |  |  |
| (Band [  | cm <sup>-1</sup> | and                   | ~1638             | -OH <sub>bend</sub>  |  |  |  |
| Group    | assigi           | ned)                  | ~1050             | P=O <sub>str</sub>   |  |  |  |
| TGA      | 25-120°C         |                       | ~ 7 %             | Due to moisture  |  |  |  |
| and      |                  |                       | Wt. loss          | and hydrated   |  |  |  |
| DSC      | Endotherm at     |                       | ~105 °C           | water  |  |  |  |
|          | 200              | -700 °C               | ~ 42 %            | Due to   |  |  |  |
|          |                  |                       | Wt. loss          | condensation of  |  |  |  |
|          |                  |                       |                   | structural   |  |  |  |
|          | Exo              | therm at              | ~304 °C           | hydroxyl groups  |  |  |  |
|          |                  |                       |                   | and  |  |  |  |
|          |                  |                       |                   | of organic   |  |  |  |
|          |                  |                       |                   | moiety   |  |  |  |
| XRD      | Abs              | ent of shar           | p peak            | Amorphous  |  |  |  |
| SEM      | Irre             | gular partic          | le size           | Amorphous  |  |  |  |



**Figure 2** Breakthrough curve of (a) transition metal ions and (b) heavy metal ions

In binary and ternary separations, the separation efficiency is indicated by (1) Percentage elution/recovery and (2) Peak shapes/elution curves of the constituent metal ions, in terms of Langmurian/non-Langmurian, which indicates how well one metal ion is separated in the presence of another metal ion. Generally, for single metal ion elution, symmetrical bell shaped curves are observed. Tailing effects are attributed to (1) High  $K_d$  values (due to which the metal ion is retained for long time on stationary phase) and (2) Irregular or non controlled flow.

The elution behaviour of single metal ions (Table 3) using ZrDETPMP shows metal ion eluted is in the range ~90 to 99 %. Good elution is observed due to non interference of elements. Using 0.2M HNO<sub>3</sub>, order of % metal eluted amongst transition metal ions is  $Co^{2+}$  (98.2 %) > Ni<sup>2+</sup>  $(97.3 \ \%) > Cu^{2+} \ (95.4 \ \%) > Zn^{2+} \ (95.3)$  and amongst heavy metal ions is  $Cd^{2+}$  (98 %) >  $Bi^{3+}$  $(97.6\%) > Hg^{2+} (94.8\%) > Pb^{2+} (93.8)$ . This observation is in keeping with selectivity order of metal ions, which shows that metal ions with high selectivity (high  $K_d$  values) are less eluted and vice-versa. Higher concentration of eluent and acids in general, are better eluents. 0.2 M  $HNO_3$  is the best eluent for most metal ions. Further, all elution curves are symmetrical bell

**Table 1** Physico-chemical, Ion Exchange andinstrumental methods of characterization forZrDETPMP

| Table 2 $K_d$ values [in mL g <sup>-1</sup> ] and BTC [in mmol g <sup>-1</sup> ] values of metal ions using ZrDETPMP |      |      |                                 |        |                  |        |                   |        |                      |        |
|--|------|------|---------------------------------|--------|------------------|--------|-------------------|--------|----------------------|--------|
| Metal<br>ion BT  | втс  | DDW  | NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> |        | HNO <sub>3</sub> |        | HClO <sub>4</sub> |        | CH <sub>3</sub> COOH |        |
|  | DIC  | DDW  | 0.02 M                          | 0.20 M | 0.02 M           | 0.20 M | 0.02 M            | 0.20 M | 0.02 M               | 0.20 M |
| $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$   | 0.11 | 38   | 189                             | 30     | 208              | *NS    | 147               | *NS    | 1053                 | 29     |
| Ni <sup>2+</sup>   | 0.15 | 90   | 149                             | NS     | 317              | *NS    | 182               | 61     | 21                   | *NS    |
| $Cu^{2+}$  | 0.16 | 332  | 195                             | 423    | 121              | 173    | 113               | 597    | 242                  | 512    |
| $Zn^{2+}$  | 0.18 | 350  | 169                             | 236    | 163              | 48     | 106               | 5      | 201                  | 168    |
| $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$   | 0.17 | 579  | 524                             | 379    | 94               | 74     | 109               | 74     | 866                  | 765    |
| $\mathrm{Hg}^{2+}$   | 0.19 | 2962 | 1347                            | 1223   | 3358             | 1155   | 5492              | 1094   | 3358                 | 986    |
| $Pb^{2+}$  | 0.28 | 4143 | 4538                            | 1333   | 274              | 22     | 399               | 70     | 4143                 | 1972   |
| Bi <sup>3+</sup>   | 0.21 | 3089 | 3089                            | 2678   | 3637             | 780    | 1994              | 721    | 1992                 | 1239   |

P. Patel and U. Chudasama: Ion Exchange Letters 4 (2011) 7-15

\* NS = no sorption, DDW= Double distilled water

Table 3 Percentage elution of metal ions in different electrolyte media

| Metal<br>ion       | 0.02 M<br>NH4NO3 | 0.2 M<br>NH4NO3 | 0.02 M<br>HNO3 | 0.2 M<br>HNO3 | 0.02 M<br>HClO4 | 0.2 M<br>HClO₄ | 0.02 M<br>CH <sub>3</sub> COOH | 0.2 M<br>CH <sub>3</sub> COOH |
|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Co <sup>2+</sup>   | 92.2             | 96.4            | 96.3           | 98.2          | 95.2            | 96.6           | 90.1                           | 91.5                          |
| Ni <sup>2+</sup>   | 85.8             | 87.6            | 90.9           | 97.3          | 90.2            | 96.2           | 88.2                           | 89.9                          |
| $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ | 65.4             | 70.8            | 90.2           | 95.4          | 90.4            | 92.4           | 86.2                           | 86.4                          |
| $Zn^{2+}$          | 88.4             | 92.3            | 93.1           | 95.3          | 92.2            | 94.4           | 91.3                           | 92.5                          |
| $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$ | 87.8             | 89.9            | 97.7           | 98.0          | 96.0            | 97.1           | 89.2                           | 93.4                          |
| $\mathrm{Hg}^{2+}$ | 86.5             | 88.9            | 91.2           | 94.8          | 92.2            | 93.2           | 90.2                           | 92.2                          |
| $Pb^{2+}$          | 84.2             | 86.5            | 92.5           | 93.8          | 90.2            | 92.2           | 90.4                           | 91.5                          |
| Bi <sup>3+</sup>   | 83.2             | 88.9            | 91.6           | 97.6          | 93.2            | 95.6           | 95.6                           | 96.2                          |

Eluent volume = 70 mL and 60 mL for 0.02 M and 0.2 M electrolytes respectively

shaped indicating elution efficiency.

A study on distribution behaviour of metal ions in various electrolyte media gives an idea about the eluents that can be used for separation. Separation factor  $\alpha$ , the rate at which two constituents separate on a column, given by,

 $\alpha = \frac{K_{d1}}{K_{d2}} \tag{5}$ 

where,  $K_{dl}$  and  $K_{d2}$  are the distribution coefficients of the two constituents being separated, provides a guideline for metal separation. The greater the deviation of  $\alpha$  from unity, better is the separation. The efficiency of an ion exchange separation depends on the condition under which  $\alpha$  has a useful value, or influencing in a direction favourable to separation. For a given metal ion pair, the electrolyte media in which the separation factor is the highest, is selected as the eluent.

In binary separations, separation efficiency is in the range 72-92 % amongst transition metal ions and 75-91 % amongst heavy metal ions (Table 4 and Table 5). In all cases of binary separation, irrespective of metal ion pair, maximum % metal eluted is  $Zn^{2+}$  (92 %),  $Cu^{2+}$  (88 %),  $Co^{2+}$  (85 %), Ni<sup>2+</sup> (80 %) (amongst transition metal ions) and  $Cd^{2+}$  (90 %),  $Hg^{2+}$  (88 %), Bi<sup>3+</sup> (84 %), Pb<sup>2+</sup> (79 %) (amongst heavy metal ions). This observation is in keeping with separation factor ( $\alpha$ ) and  $K_d$  values of metal ions. % metal eluted decreases with decreasing separation factor and increases with increasing separation factor and as explained earlier metal ions with high  $K_d$  values are less eluted and vice-versa.

P. Patel and U. Chudasama: Ion Exchange Letters 4 (2011) 7-15

| Separation<br>achieved              | Separation<br>Factor = $K_{d1}/K_{d2}$ | Eluent  | Volume<br>[mL] | Metal ion<br>loaded<br>[mg] | Metal ion<br>eluted<br>[mg] | Elution<br>[%] |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| $Ni^{2+}-Co^{2+}$                   | 2.37                                   | a) 0.2 M CH <sub>3</sub> COOH (Ni <sup>2+</sup> ) | a) 60          | a) 0.5893                   | a) 0.4604                   | a) 78.12       |
|                                     |  | b) 0.2 M HNO <sub>3</sub> (Co <sup>2+</sup> )     | b) 80          | b) 0.5869                   | b) 0.5004                   | b) 85.27       |
| $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}\mathrm{-Cu}^{2+}$ | 8.74                                   | a) 0.2 M CH <sub>3</sub> COOH (Co <sup>2+</sup> ) | a) 60          | a) 0.5869                   | a) 0.4757                   | a) 81.05       |
|                                     |  | b) 0.2 M HNO <sub>3</sub> (Cu <sup>2+</sup> )     | b) 80          | b) 0.6354                   | b) 0.5619                   | b) 88.43       |
| $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}-\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ | 9.21                                   | a) 0.2 M CH <sub>3</sub> COOH (Co <sup>2+</sup> ) | a) 60          | a) 0.5869                   | a) 0.4999                   | a) 85.18       |
|                                     |  | b) 0.2 M HClO <sub>4</sub> ( $Zn^{2+}$ )          | b) 80          | b) 0.6539                   | b) 0.6023                   | b) 92.11       |
| $Ni^{2+}-Cu^{2+}$                   | 3.69                                   | a) 0.2 M CH <sub>3</sub> COOH (Ni <sup>2+</sup> ) | a) 60          | a) 0.5893                   | a) 0.4677                   | a) 79.37       |
|                                     |  | b) 0.2 M HNO <sub>3</sub> (Cu <sup>2+</sup> )     | b) 80          | b) 0.6354                   | b) 0.5618                   | b) 88.41       |
| $Zn^{2+}-Ni^{2+}$                   | 3.89                                   | a) $0.2 \text{ M HClO}_4 (\text{Zn}^{2+})$        | a) 60          | a) 0.6539                   | a) 0.5773                   | a) 88.28       |
|                                     |  | b) 0.2 M CH <sub>3</sub> COOH (Ni <sup>2+</sup> ) | b) 80          | b) 0.5893                   | b) 0.4723                   | b) 80.14       |
| $Zn^{2+}-Cu^{2+}$                   | 1.05                                   | a) 0.2 M HClO <sub>4</sub> (Zn <sup>2+</sup> )    | a) 60          | a) 0.6539                   | a) 0.4728                   | a) 72.31       |
|                                     |  | b) 0.2 M HNO <sub>3</sub> (Cu <sup>2+</sup> )     | b) 80          | b) 0.6354                   | b) 0.5097                   | b) 80.22       |

 Table 4 Binary separations of transition metal ions



**Figure 3** Binary separations of transition metal ions (i)  $Ni^{2+}-Co^{2+}$ , (ii)  $Co^{2+}-Cu^{2+}$ , (iii)  $Co^{2+}-Zn^{2+}$ , (iv)  $Ni^{2+}-Cu^{2+}$ , (v)  $Zn^{2+}-Ni^{2+}$  and (vi)  $Zn^{2+}-Cu^{2+}$ 

P. Patel and U. Chudasama: Ion Exchange Letters 4 (2011) 7-15

| Table 5 Binary separations of heavy metal ions |   |  |                |                             |                             |                |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| Separation<br>achieved                         | Separation<br>Factor =<br><i>K</i> <sub>d1</sub> / <i>K</i> <sub>d2</sub> | Eluent   | Volume<br>[mL] | Metal ion<br>loaded<br>[mg] | Metal ion<br>eluted<br>[mg] | Elution<br>[%] |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\mathrm{Hg}^{2+}$             | 5.12  | a) 0. 2 M HClO <sub>4</sub> (Cd <sup><math>2+</math></sup> ) | a) 60          | a) 1.1241                   | a) 0.9342                   | a) 83.11       |  |  |  |
|  |   | b) $0.2 \text{ M CH}_3\text{COOH} (\text{Hg}^{2+})$          | b) 80          | b) 2.0059                   | b) 1.7656                   | b) 88.02       |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\mathrm{-Pb}^{2+}$            | 7.16  | a) $0.2 \text{ M NH}_4 \text{NO}_3 (\text{Cd}^{2+})$         | a) 60          | a) 1.1241                   | a) 0.9922                   | a) 88.27       |  |  |  |
|  |   | b) 0.2 M HNO <sub>3</sub> (Pb <sup>2+</sup> )                | b) 80          | b) 2.0720                   | b) 1.6385                   | b) 79.08       |  |  |  |
| $Cd^{2+}-Bi^{3+}$                              | 5.34  | a) 0.2 M HNO <sub>3</sub> (Cd <sup>2+</sup> )                | a) 60          | a) 1.1241                   | a) 1.0261                   | a) 91.28       |  |  |  |
|  |   | b) 0.2 M HClO <sub>4</sub> (Bi <sup>3+</sup> )               | b) 80          | b) 2.0898                   | b) 1.7851                   | b) 85.42       |  |  |  |
| $Pb^{2+}-Hg^{2+}$                              | 1.40  | a) 0.2 M HNO <sub>3</sub> (Pb <sup>2+</sup> )                | a) 60          | a) 2.0720                   | a) 1.5403                   | a) 74.34       |  |  |  |
|  |   | b) $0.2 \text{ M CH}_3\text{COOH}(\text{Hg}^{2+})$           | b) 80          | b) 2.0059                   | b) 1.7485                   | b) 87.17       |  |  |  |
| Bi <sup>3+</sup> -Hg <sup>2+</sup>             | 1.04  | a) 0.02 M HClO <sub>4</sub> (Bi <sup><math>3+</math></sup> ) | a) 60          | a) 2.0898                   | a) 1.7164                   | a) 82.13       |  |  |  |
| -  |   | b) 0.2 M CH <sub>3</sub> COOH (Hg <sup>2+</sup> )            | b) 80          | b) 2.0059                   | b) 1.5100                   | b) 75.28       |  |  |  |
| $Pb^{2+}-Bi^{3+}$                              | 1.34  | a) 0.2 M HNO <sub>3</sub> (Pb <sup><math>2+</math></sup> )   | a) 60          | a) 2.0720                   | a) 1.5993                   | a) 77.19       |  |  |  |
|  |   | b) 0.2 M HClO <sub>4</sub> (Bi <sup>3+</sup> )               | b) 80          | b) 2.0898                   | b) 1.7623                   | b) 84.33       |  |  |  |

0.2MHC104 0.2M NH4NO3 0.2MHNO3 0.2M CH3COOH **Elution** [%] 25 20 15 10 Elution [%] H,0  $H_2O$ Hg<sup>2+</sup> Cd2+ Pb<sup>2+</sup> Cd2+ 100 120 140 160 Volume of Eluent [mL] Volume of Eluent [mL] (i) (ii) 0.2M HNO3 0.2MHClO4 0.2MHNO3 0.2M CH3COOH Elution [%] 30 25 15 25 15 15  $H_2O$  $H_2O$ Bi<sup>3+</sup> Cd2+ Pb24 Hg<sup>2+</sup> Volume of Eluent [mL] Volume of Eluent [mL] (iii) (iv) 0.2MHC104 0.2MHNO3 0.02MHClO4 0.2M CH3COOH 25 20 15 25 20 15 10  $\Lambda H_2 O$  $H_2O$ Hg<sup>2+</sup> Pb<sup>2+</sup> Bi<sup>3+</sup> Bi<sup>3+</sup> Volume of Eluent [mL] Volume of Eluent [mL]

(v) (vi) **Figure 4** Binary separations of heavy metal ions (i)  $Cd^{2+}-Hg^{2+}$ , (ii)  $Cd^{2+}-Pb^{2+}$ , (iii)  $Cd^{2+}-Bi^{3+}$ , (iv)  $Pb^{2+}-Hg^{2+}$ , (v)  $Bi^{3+}-Hg^{2+}$  and (vi)  $Pb^{2+}-Bi^{3+}$ 

 
 Table 6 Ternary separations of metal ions
 Separations Volume Metal ion [mg] Elution Eluent achieved [mL] Eluted Loaded [%] a) 72.23  $Co^{2+} - Ni^{2+} - Cu^{2+}$ a) 0.2 M HClO<sub>4</sub> ( $Co^{2+}$ ) a) 60 a) 0.5900 a) 0.4262 b) 0.2 M CH<sub>3</sub>COOH (Ni<sup>2+</sup>) b) 80 b) 0.5900 b) 0.4015 b) 68.05 c)  $0.2 \text{ M HNO}_3 (\text{Cu}^{2+})$ c) 80 c) 0.6400 c) 0.3622 c) 56.59  $Ni^{2+}$  -  $Co^{2+}$  -  $Zn^{2+}$ a) 0.2 M CH<sub>3</sub>COOH (Ni<sup>2+</sup>) a) 0.3826 a) 60 a) 0.5900 a) 64.85 b)  $0.2 \text{ M HNO}_3 (\text{Co}^{2+})$ b) 80 b) 0.5900 b) 0.4503 b) 76.33 c) 0.2 M HClO<sub>4</sub> ( $Zn^{2+}$ ) c) 59.79 c) 80 c) 0.6500 c) 0.3886  $Cd^{2+} - Pb^{2+} - Hg^{2+}$ a) 0.2 M NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> (Cd<sup>2+</sup>) a) 60 a) 1.1200 a) 0.7899 a) 70.53 b) 0.2 M HNO<sub>3</sub> (Pb<sup>2+</sup>) b) 80 b) 2.0700 b) 1.2822 b) 61.94 c) 0.2 M CH<sub>3</sub>COOH (Hg<sup>2+</sup>) c) 80 c) 2.0100 c) 1.3336 c) 66.35  $Cd^{2+} - Pb^{2+} - Bi^{3+}$ a)  $0.2 \text{ M NH}_4\text{NO}_3 (\text{Cd}^{2+})$ a) 60 a) 1.1200 a) 0.7637 a) 68.19 b) 0.2 M HNO<sub>3</sub> (Pb<sup>2+</sup>) b) 80 b) 2.0700 b) 1.1907 b) 57.52 c) 0.2 M HClO<sub>4</sub> (Bi<sup>3+</sup>) c) 80 c) 2.0900 c) 1.2552 c) 60.06 40 40 0.02M 0.2MHC104 0.2MCH3COOH 0.2MHNO3 02MHNO2 0.2MHC104 35 35 CH3COOH 30 30 \$ 25 Elution [%] 25 Elution 20 20 15 15 10 10 5 5 Co2 N;2 n 0 0 20 40 60 80 100 120 140 160 180 200 220 240 20 40 60 80 100 120 140 160 180 200 220 240 0 Volume of Eluent [mL] Volume of Eluent [mL] (ii) *(i)* 40 40 0.2MHNO3 0.2MCH3COOH 0.2MNHANO3 0.2MHC104 0.2MNHANO 0.2MHNO 35 35 30 30 0%] \$ 25 25 Elution Elution 20 20 15 15 10 10 5 5 Cd2 Hg2+ Cd2 0 0 20 40 60 80 100 120 140 160 180 200 220 240 20 40 60 80 100 120 140 160 180 200 220 240 0 0 Volume of Eluent [mL] Volume of Eluent [mL] (iii) (iv)

P. Patel and U. Chudasama: Ion Exchange Letters 4 (2011) 7-15

**Figure 5** Ternary separations of metal ions (i)  $Co^{2+}-Ni^{2+}-Cu^{2+}$  (ii)  $Ni^{2+}-Co^{2+}-Zn^{2+}$  (iii)  $Cd^{2+}-Pb^{2+}-Hg^{2+}$  and (iv)  $Cd^{2+}-Pb^{2+}-Bi^{3+}$ 

Efficient separation in terms of % metal eluted is observed in case of  $Co^{2+}-Cu^{2+}$ ,  $Co^{2+}-Zn^{2+}$ ,  $Cd^{2+}-Pb^{2+}$ ,  $Cd^{2+}-Bi^{3+}$  and  $Cd^{2+}-Hg^{2+}$  where,  $\alpha$  values are high, which is also supported by symmetrical bell shaped curves (Figure 3 and Figure 4). In case of metal ion pairs  $Zn^{2+}-Cu^{2+}$ ,  $Ni^{2+}-Co^{2+}$ ,  $Ni^{2+}-Cu^{2+}$ ,  $Zn^{2+}-Ni^{2+}$ ,  $Pb^{2+}-Hg^{2+}$ ,  $Pb^{2+}-Bi^{3+}$  and  $Bi^{3+}-Hg^{2+}$ , two distinct peaks are observed, however with tailing effects. This may be attributed to very low  $\alpha$  values. However, separation is quite efficient in terms of % metal eluted. In binary separations, the metal eluted first is generally less recovered/ eluted compared to second metal eluted. There is however, not much difference in % metal eluted when order of metal elution is reversed.

In ternary separations for  $\text{Co}^{2+}-\text{Ni}^{2+}-\text{Cu}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Ni}^{2+}-\text{Co}^{2+}-\text{Zn}^{2+}$  (transition metal ions) and  $\text{Cd}^{2+}-\text{Pb}^{2+}-\text{Hg}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Cd}^{2+}-\text{Pb}^{2+}-\text{Bi}^{3+}$  (heavy metal ions) % metal eluted is in the range 56-72%, 59-76%, 61-70% and 60-68% respectively (Table 6). In all cases, three distinct peaks are observed (Figure 5); however, with tailing effects for every metal ion eluted. % metal eluted is also lower as compared to single and binary metal ion separations.

Probably the separation process becomes complex, attributed to the loss of metal ions during the changeover of the eluent, interference of metal ions, pH, simultaneous elution of two or more metal ions with the same eluent, and lastly, experimental errors involved in the determination of metal ions in the presence of other ions, etc.

### CONCLUSIONS

ZrDETPMP exhibits CEC comparable to commercially available resins<sup>26</sup>, is chemically stable in acidic and organic solvent media and exhibits high selectivity for  $Zn^{2+}$ ,  $Hg^{2+}$ ,  $Pb^{2+}$  and  $Bi^{3+}$ . Efficient binary metal separations carried out using ZrDETPMP indicate good potential for this material to be used as a cation exchanger.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Authors thank Gujarat Mineral Development Corporation, Science & Research Centre, Gujarat, India for financial support.

#### REFERENCES

[1] Siddiqui W. A., Khan S. A., *Bull Mater Sci* **30** (2007) 43-49.

[2[ Velmurugan S., Sathyaseelam V. S., Narasimhan S. V., Mathur P. K.: New Development in Ion Exchange, Proc. of Int. Conf. Ion Exch. (ICIE'91), Tokyo, 1991.

[3] Gupta V. K., Singh P., Rahman N., *Anal Bioanal Chem* **381** (2005) 471-476.

[4] Hafez M. A., Kenway I. M. M., Akl M. A., Lshein R. R., *Talanta* **53** (2001) 749-760.

[5] Varshney K. G., Khan M. A.: Inorganic Ion Exchangers in Chemical Analysis; Qureshi M., Varshney K. G. (Eds.) RC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1991.

[6] Clearfield A.: Inorganic Ion Exchange Materials, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1982.

[7] Amphlett C. B.: Treatment and Disposal of Radioactive Wastes, Pergamon, Oxford, 1961.

[8] Varshney K. G., Pandit H. A., Colloids Surf A: Physicochem Eng Aspects 201 (2002) 1-7.

[9] Clearfield A., Wang Z. J., J Chem Soc Dalton Trans (2002) 2937-2947.

[10] Wang Z., Heising J. M., Clearfield A., *J Am Chem Soc* **125** (2003) 10375-10383.

[11] Gomez-Alcantara M. M., Cabeza A., Martinez-Lara M., Aranda M. A. G., Aarau R., Bhuvanesh N., Clearfield A., *Inorg Chem* **43** (2004) 5283-5293.

[12] Zhang X. J., Ma T. Y., Yuan Z. Y., *J Mater Chem* 18 (2008) 2003-2010.

[13] Holz R. C., Meister G. E., Horrocks W. D., *Inorg Chem* **29** (1990) 5183-5189.

[14] Jayswal A., Chudasama U., *Turk J Chem* **32** (2008) 63-74.

[15] Maheria K., Chudasama U., J Sci Ind Res 66 (2007) 1047-1053.

[16] Thakkar R., Chudasama U., Sep Sci Technol 44 (2009) 3088-3112.

[17] Thakkar R., Chudasama U., J Iran Chem Soc 7 (2010) 202-209.

[18] Patel P., Chudasama U., Desalination and water treatment, **12** (2009) 87-92.

[19] Patel P., Chudasama U., Indian J. Chem. A. 49 (2010) 1318-1324.

[20] Helfferich F.: Ion Exchange, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1962.

[21] Kunin R.: Ion Exchange Resin, Wiley, London, 1958.

[22] Ma T. Y., Zhang X. J. Shao G. S., Cao J. L., Yuan Z. Y., *J Phys Chem C* **112** (2008) 3090-3096.

[23] Qureshi M., Varshney K. G., Isaraili A. H., J Chromatogr **59** (1971) 141-150.

[24] Jeffery G. H., Bassett J., Mendham J., Denney R.C.: Vogel's Text Book of Quantitative Inorganic Analysis, 5<sup>th</sup> ed., Longman Group Green, London, 1978.

[25] Frank J. W.: The Analytical Uses of Ethylenediamine Tetraacetic Acid, D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc., New Jersey, 1965.

[26] Mohammad R. P., Parviz N., Mohammad R. G., Int J Electrochem Sci 4 (2009) 923-942.